UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

RONALD MOSES,

Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action 2:15-cv-2853 Judge George C. Smith Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers

EXTENDICARE HEALTH SERVICES, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff filed his Complaint in this action on August 20, 2015 in the Delaware County
Court of Common Pleas. (ECF No. 1-1 at 1.) Defendants removed the case to this Court on
September 23, 2015. (ECF No. 1.) On December 22, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiff to effect
service upon Defendant Prostep, Inc., LLC within fourteen days or to alternatively show cause
why the Court should not dismiss this action pursuant to Rule 4(m). (ECF No. 18.) To date,
Plaintiff has not effected service upon Defendant Prostep, Inc., LLC. Nor has Plaintiff
responded to the Court's Show Cause Order. It is therefore **RECOMMENDED** that the Court **DISMISS** Defendant Prostep, Inc., LLC as a Defendant in this action without prejudice pursuant
to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) for failure to timely effect service of process.

PROCEDURE ON OBJECTIONS

If any party seeks review by the District Judge of this Report and Recommendation, that party may, within fourteen (14) days, file and serve on all parties objections to the Report and

Recommendation, specifically designating this Report and Recommendation, and the part in

question, as well as the basis for objection. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Response to objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The parties are specifically advised that the failure to object to the Report and

Recommendation will result in a waiver of the right to de novo review by the District Judge and

waiver of the right to appeal the judgment of the District Court. See, e.g., Pfahler v. Nat'l Latex

Prod. Co., 517 F.3d 816, 829 (6th Cir. 2007) (holding that "failure to object to the magistrate

judge's recommendations constituted a waiver of [the defendant's] ability to appeal the district

court's ruling"); United States v. Sullivan, 431 F.3d 976, 984 (6th Cir. 2005) (holding that

defendant waived appeal of district court's denial of pretrial motion by failing to timely object to

magistrate judge's report and recommendation). Even when timely objections are filed,

appellate review of issues not raised in those objections is waived. Robert v. Tesson, 507 F.3d

981, 994 (6th Cir. 2007) ("[A] general objection to a magistrate judge's report, which fails to

specify the issues of contention, does not suffice to preserve an issue for appeal") (citation

omitted)).

Date: January 13, 2016

/s/ Elizabeth A. Preston Deavers

ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2